
## **EXHIBIT A**



		Page 3
1	A P P	EARANCES:
2		
3	BAKER	HOSTETLER
4		Attorney for Irving Picard, Trustee
5		45 Rockefeller Plaza
6		New York, NY 10111
7	BY:	SEANNA BROWN, ESQ.
8		
9	CHAIT	MAN LLP
10		Attorney for Russell L. Dusek, Carol Nelson,
11		Stanley Nelson, Russell Oasis,
12		RAR Entrepreneurial Fund, LTD
13		465 Park Avenue
14		New York, New York 10022
15	BY:	GREGORY M. DEXTER, ESQ.
16		
17	BAKER	& MCKENZIE
18		Attorney for Dr.'s Joel and Norman Blum
19		815 Connecticut Avenue, NW
20		Washington, DC 20006
21	BY:	RICHARD A. KIRBY, ESQ.
22		
23		
24		
25		

Pg 5 of 36 Page 4 1 PROCEEDINGS 2 MS. BROWN: Good morning, Your Honor. 3 THE COURT: Good morning. MS. BROWN: Seanna Brown on behalf of Irving Picard, 5 Trustee. 6 Your Honor, we're here today because we received 7 several objections to rescheduling the deposition of Ms. 8 Annette Bongiorno. And before we get to those objections, I'd 9 just like to briefly tell Your Honor why the testimony remains relevant today and, if anything, it's even more relevant to 10 11 find the discovery that the Trustee has conducted so far. 12 The Trustee deposed four BLMIS witnesses, all of whom 13 testified that Ms. Bongiorno was their supervisor. 14 witnesses identified Ms. Bongiorno's handwriting on several key 15 documents including what we refer to as the spiral notebook. 16 This notebook is a book that details the checks that were out 17 of BLMIS. This book contains the names of Mr. Blecker and the 18 19 Blum's, and several witnesses identified Ms. Bongiorno's 20 handwriting throughout this book; these witnesses also 21 identified Ms. Bongiorno's handwriting on several of the 22 account opening forms that were used internally at BLMIS. on those forms is a notation for send or re-invest. And what 23

we have discovered so far is that this dictates whether or not

the account was sent profits or the profits were re-invested.

24

This form was filled out by BLMIS employees, including Ms. Bongiorno, and is relevant to the profit withdrawal issue at hand. In particular, Mr. Blecker's -- one of Mr. Blecker's account opening forms, a witness identified Ms. Bongiorno's handwriting on that form and another witness identified her handwriting on the account opening documents for Joel Blum.

THE COURT: Did any of them testify about these PW notations?

MS. BROWN: They did, Your Honor. The witnesses -- if you want I can give you a brief summary.

THE COURT: Yeah, I'm curious.

MS. BROWN: So we deposed two of what we call the key punch operators. These were people that input data into the AS 400 System used by BLMIS to print those statements as well as the checks. So their knowledge was really based about how the checks were created, how the information was stored in the AS 400, and information about checks being printed and mailed to customers. We also deposed Winifred Jackson who was an assistant to JoAnn Crupi and Ms. Bongiorno, who also had responsibilities relating to checks and other administrative duties at BLMIS.

The fourth witness was a woman named Joanna Sala. She was what's referred to as an accounts manager. She managed convertible arbitrage accounts. These are the types of accounts that had profit withdrawal transactions in them and

she testified about the profit withdrawal notations, what they meant, how checks were sent to customers, and how the transactions were calculated on the statements.

THE COURT: What did she say about what they meant?

MS. BROWN: She said that the profit withdrawal transactions as -- so to take a particular deal, there was a purported, you know, buy of a security -- reported sale of a security and a profit that was generated. The profit is shown on the statement as a PW with the name of the security in the check in the transaction field.

She testified that those were checks that were sent to customers and what the -- the reason why she testified to that is because she said that the way the accounts were set up is either they were set up as a send or re-invest account. If it was set up as a send account, the customers were sent their profits automatically. They did not need to write to BLMIS to request their profits for each and every transaction that they engaged in. They would be sent those profits automatically.

If, on the other hand, a customer wanted a one-off withdrawal, which would be denoted in the books and records as a capital withdrawal -- this is what she testified to -- that would need to be in writing and that would be maintained in the customer files.

So the way that the account was set up is very critical to the question before the Court. And several of

these witnesses testified that Ms. Bongiorno was involved in setting up the accounts, that she directed them as to how to set up the accounts and that she was a decision maker in that regard.

So all of the testimony that we sought so far, Your Honor, the four witnesses that I just outlined, each of them have supported the Trustee's determination to treat proper withdrawal transactions in the way that he did, and all the testimony has been highly relevant to the questions before Your Honor. They have not been fishing expeditions and have not been a wasted effort. Nor do I believe that the testimony of Ms. Bongiorno would be either a fishing expedition.

THE COURT: One of the issues that's raised, I guess, in Ms. Chaitman's letter is they knew or should have known that Ms. Bongiorno might assert a Fifth Amendment privilege.

MS. BROWN: Right.

THE COURT: What's your response to that?

MS. BROWN: My response to that, Your Honor, is we have -- the only information I have is what her counsel informed me of and her counsel informed me, you know, several weeks prior to the deposition that she was going to testify. And on that basis, we moved ahead with scheduling her deposition.

As her deposition got closer the -- you know, the agreement was executed by the Trustee, the settlement agreement

by the Trustee, the U.S. Attorney; it was not executed by Ms. Bongiorno and her husband Ruby. Her counsel said that she may still provide testimony even though it's not signed and on that basis, with that deadline approaching the following week, the Trustee had no choice but to go down there and see if she would follow through on what she had previously said that she would do.

THE COURT: Did you discuss with the parties that she may not testify; let's put this off until she signs the settlement agreement?

MS. BROWN: I did not do that, Your Honor, because I was not told that until the Sunday when I was already down in Florida that she may not testify based on the agreement. And, you know, with the arrangements with the prison it's not as though we can just show-up on any day that works for everyone. I highly doubt that if it came to be that Ms. Bongiorno said that she was willing to provide testimony on July 8th that my adversaries would have consented to me taking this deposition now if I had not gone.

So in order to protect the Trustee's interest, we felt that based on the representation from her counsel that the only choice that we had was to proceed and see if Ms. Bongiorno would indeed follow through on what she had said previously to both myself -- well, through her counsel and Ms. Chaitman that she intended to provide testimony.

Your Honor, if I may just go back to why Ms. Bongiorno's testimony is relevant. You know, her counsel has indeed confirmed that she has information on proper withdrawal transactions and that is also confirmed by the deposition testimony of the other witnesses. Lastly, the reason why her testimony is even more relevant is because counsel had been pursuing a line of questioning in the depositions that have been taken so far regarding Ms. Bongiorno specifically and her personal actions. And on that basis, I think that we are entitled to explore that line of questioning to the extent that they plan to raise it as part of the arguments before Your Honor. Turning to the objections that we have received, I think I addressed the one from Ms. Chaitman. The objections from Mr. Kirby, you know, appear to be mostly based on cost. Mr. Kirby didn't attend the deposition of Ms. Bongiorno on July 8th. THE COURT: Did anyone from his office.

MS. BROWN: No, Your Honor. The only person from his office that -- I'm sorry. The only person that attended other then the Trustee and counsel for Ms. Bongiorno was Mr. Dexter from Chaitman LLP. Unless he plans to attend the next deposition, it's not clear that he will have any cost associated with the deposition.

As we indicated in our letter, the parties have been

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

working out a stipulation to try to modify the briefing schedule and streamline it a bit for Your Honor. And the proposed date from Mr. Kirby for the Claimant's opposition brief would be September 30th. So if the deposition were permitted to go forward on July 8th that would be sufficient time for the parties to address any issues that arose in Ms. Bongiorno's testimony on that date. So on that basis, Your Honor, you know, given that she has relevant testimony, her counsel has confirmed that she will, in fact, provide testimony on the 8th and that there are no further impediments remaining to her testimony in light of the settlement agreement with the U.S. Attorney's Office. We would request that Your Honor permit the deposition to go forward. How long do you think it's going to last? THE COURT: MS. BROWN: The deposition? THE COURT: Yeah. MS. BROWN: I anticipate that I would have somewhere between like four hours of questioning, that's been the

approximate length of the depositions so far.

THE COURT: Does the prison limit the amount of time that you can have with her?

MS. BROWN: They do. We have been told that we need to arrive by 8:00 a.m. and I think we need to finish, I believe, either by three or four.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

	Pg 12 01 30
	Page 11
1	THE COURT: Do you then get to continue the next day
2	if there isn't enough time?
3	MS. BROWN: I did not raise that with them
4	specifically, but I anticipate that they would accommodate us.
5	THE COURT: Okay. All right.
6	MS. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor.
7	THE COURT: Thank you.
8	MR. KIRBY: Good morning, Your Honor.
9	THE COURT: Good morning.
10	MR. KIRBY: Richard Kirby on behalf of Dr.'s Joel and
11	Norman Blum.
12	We are here again on the Trustee's seemingly endless
13	search for what they claim to be the truth. The facts are a
14	little different then they have outlined. And I think it's
15	worth the Court focusing on that because of what their this
16	current effort that they're pursuing. They don't have checks.
17	The ledgers that they talk about seem to have been long since
18	destroyed. The problem they have is they can't prove that
19	these checks went out.
20	THE COURT: That's really a question for trial, isn't
21	it?
22	MR. KIRBY: Yes, but I think it does matter that what
23	the testimony of these people have been so far is they had a
24	system if there was a check to be written; the flunkies. To be
25	blunt about it, these were persons who had no knowledge about

Page 12 1 what the transactions were because that was something that they 2 was not involved. 3 What the Trustee's own experts have said is that these 4 transactions were entire fictitious. The profits -- there were no profits; that's what their expert has said. 5 The Trustee has 6 said that himself in the papers. 7 THE COURT: No profits because there were no real 8 transactions --9 MR. KIRBY: Correct. 10 THE COURT: -- or they didn't send out checks to 11 people. 12 MR. KIRBY: Undoubtedly, they did send checks out, but 13 the problem is, is that my clients have said they never got 14 them. They never received those checks. 15 THE COURT: Okay. But, you know, I'm not trying the 16 I hear you, but I'm not going to try the case as a 17 discovery dispute. I suppose if people came on and said every 18 PW means that a check went out, I can weigh that. 19 MR. KIRBY: That's not what they said. 20 THE COURT: Well, but I don't know what they were 21 saying, you know. 22 MR. KIRBY: Okay. Fair enough. Let's get back to 23 this. All right. 24 The Court set a deadline on September 13th -- I mean 25 They have taken the testimony. What they didn't on June 13th.

tell everybody was that they were in the process of trying to buy Ms. Bongiorno's testimony through the settlement.

What they have stood up here today and said, as I understand it, is she'll have relevant testimony to proffer withdrawal transactions. At this point, they ought to have more of a proffer before we go forward. What they -- they don't really --

THE COURT: But how can they proffer what she's going to say until they ask her.

MR. KIRBY: Well, certainly they -- their attorney should make a proffer. My goodness, if they were buying her testimony, they wouldn't be buying a pig and a poke. I assume that they had some sense as to what she would say, but they haven't said that.

They say they have relevant testimony. Why would we - why put the parties through the additional burden of having
to have this extended and having to deal with whatever she says
if they can't make a proffer that would say that Ms. Bongiorno
knows exactly that the Blum's got certain checks. She can't
say that and she won't know that. And if she did, it would be
not even credible. So she would know a process at best, but
she couldn't say that a specific check went out.

THE COURT: But couldn't I infer -- if she testified or if anybody testified to that, couldn't I infer that they actually got the checks?

Page 14 1 MR. KIRBY: No, I don't think so. 2 THE COURT: Well, but that's a question for trial. 3 MR. KIRBY: I understand that, but at this point where 4 she's already taken the Fifth Amendment once, now she said, okay, we bought her testimony and now she's going to have 5 6 relevant testimony. Now putting aside the credibility issue 7 that the Court will have to deal with if you do take into 8 account what she says, why put the parties through this 9 expense. I mean, you know, we're already --10 THE COURT: Why is it an expense to you? You didn't even bother -- let me finish. You didn't even bother to show 11 12 up at the first deposition. So you saved that money. Don't go 13 to the second deposition if you don't think it's worthwhile. 14 MR. KIRBY: Your Honor, we have to make choices. 15 THE COURT: Why didn't you go to the first one? 16 MR. KIRBY: Because I've been cooperating with other 17 counsel to deal with it. 18 THE COURT: All right. 19 Okay. And that's a perfectly legitimate 20 reason not to impose the expense on it. 21 THE COURT: I'm not arguing with it, but you're 22 talking about expense and you're not incurring any expense. 23 MR. KIRBY: But it's not just -- it is an expense when 24 we have to review a deposition. We have to deal with 25 additional facts. The whole process was -- this was also

Page 15 1 supposed to be done long ago. 2 Why -- you know, we're back to where we were when the 3 Trustee asked for an extension and I said -- I said to the 4 Court at that time this is -- you know, this is just going to keep going on. 5 6 THE COURT: Well, it's not going to keep going on. 7 We're talking about one last -- one final deposition. Crupi 8 is, I take it, is not on the table. 9 MR. KIRBY: Well, apparently she's taken the Fifth. 10 THE COURT: She's just not going to testify. All 11 right. 12 MR. KIRBY: And my clients -- there is no evidence 13 that my clients dealt directly with her. Both my clients said 14 they never dealt with Annette Bongiorno. And because somebody 15 recognized some chicken scratch on a piece of paper as 16 Bongiorno's handwriting isn't enough to rebut that testimony. 17 So this is really a frolic that doesn't belong -- relate to my 18 clients. It is for that reason that we are objecting. THE COURT: Thank you. 19 Mr. Dexter. 20 21 MR. DEXTER: Good morning, Judge Bernstein. THE COURT: Good morning. 22 23 I'd like to make two points here today. MR. DEXTER: 24 The first is that the Trustee's counsel and counsel for Ms. 25 Bongiorno were in discussions and they kept those discussions

shielded from counsel for the customers. And those discussions were about whether or not Ms. Bongiorno would testify. And without us having any information from the Trustee's counsel that Ms. Bongiorno might not testify we traveled down to Florida, incurred the expense, over \$1,000 dollars paid for by innocent victims of the Madoff fraud.

Now, throughout that period, Ms. Chaitman had discussions with counsel for Ms. Bongiorno and while I was not privy to those communications, I understand that counsel for Ms. Bongiorno repeatedly assured Ms. Chaitman that Ms. Bongiorno would testify. So after incurring these expenses, attending the deposition, we're there in Florida in a federal prison and we're totally blindsided at that point and told that Ms. Bongiorno would not testify.

As to the Trustee's point earlier about some of these depositions being highly relevant, I think that's a little bit dubious. For example, one of the employees of Madoff who we deposed didn't even know what a profit withdrawal was. She didn't even know what a PW notation was.

THE COURT: Did any of the witnesses know what it was?

MR. DEXTER: Some of them knew what it was. Some of
them -- I mean --

THE COURT: Well, if you're going to depose some witnesses that don't know anything about, you know, the matter at issue that happens inevitably.

Page 17 1 MR. DEXTER: Right; right. 2 THE COURT: That's why you depose more then one 3 witness. 4 MR. DEXTER: Right. But the point is that certain witnesses have been deposed who don't know anything. It's not 5 like this has been a narrowly tailored extension of discovery 6 7 and we're only taking depositions of witnesses with highly 8 probative information; we're not. So because all this 9 information has been shielded from us and because we --10 THE COURT: Which information has been shielded from 11 you? 12 MR. DEXTER: That Ms. Bongiorno had not signed the 13 settlement agreement with the Trustee and with the United 14 States Government when we incurred the cost, traveled down to 15 Florida and prepared ourselves to take the deposition. None of 16 the --17 THE COURT: I thought the agreement with the 18 Government had been signed already. 19 MR. DEXTER: It had been signed by all parties except 20 Ms. Bongiorno. So she could have signed it prior to us 21 attending. 22 THE COURT: Oh, okay. 23 MR. DEXTER: She didn't sign it. This was known by the Trustee. This was known by Ms. Bongiorno's counsel. This 24 25 was withheld from us, and we get down there, and then it's not

signed and she doesn't testify. And now the answer that her attorney -- the explanation her attorney gives is that the settlement agreement wasn't signed so she couldn't testify. She pled the Fifth, but they knew the settlement agreement wasn't signed when we flew down there. When I say they, I mean the Trustee's counsel -- I mean counsel for Ms. Bongiorno.

So considering that SIPA requires prompt determination of claims, we don't think its appropriate to have another deposition that's going to further delay the resolution of claims by innocent Madoff customers who are going to have to needlessly incur additional cost to attend, yet, another deposition in Florida for the witness who already was deposed, and she chose not to testify. She chose to assert her Fifth Amendment. Now, apparently after the Trustee has bought her testimony, she's willing to testify and we don't think that's appropriate.

Alternatively if Your Honor is not inclined to agree with us, we request that our costs to attend the deposition in Florida be paid for by the Trustee. That is my first point. I started by saying I had two points.

The second is because Ms. Bongiorno's motive -credibility and bias is highly relevant as those factors are
highly relevant of any witness. We request that all records -all communications between the Trustee's counsel and Ms.
Bongiorno's counsel be disclosed to us so we understand the

Page 19 1 full extent of the discussions pertaining to the settlement 2 agreement so that we can fully evaluate her credibility at the 3 time of trial and throughout depositions. 4 THE COURT: I don't understand that. Part of the deal is that she cooperate. You want to find out what her attorney 5 6 told the Trustee's attorney she would probably testify about? 7 MR. DEXTER: Well, we certainly want to know that. 8 THE COURT: Why isn't that work product? 9 MR. DEXTER: I'm sorry? 10 THE COURT: Why isn't that work product? 11 MR. DEXTER: Well to the extent a privilege or work 12 product is claimed that should be logged and we should have a 13 basis for challenging that. 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. DEXTER: And that's all I have right now on the 16 issue currently before the Court. Thank you, Your Honor. 17 THE COURT: Thank you. 18 MS. BROWN: Your Honor, I'll be very brief. Your 19 Honor, we object to the characterization that the Trustee has bought Ms. Bongiorno's testimony in this matter. 20 21 THE COURT: I think -- look, I understand she's under 22 a duty to cooperate. I know what that means. It will just go 23 to the weight of her testimony. 24 MS. BROWN: I agree. As to the cost and the 25 characterization that this discovery had been very broad and

ranging, the Trustee made two motions: one to depose Ms.

Bongiorno and Ms. Crupi, which this Court granted, and Mr.

Kirby and Ms. Chaitman didn't object to those motions. So the time to object to that --

THE COURT: Well, the cost issue is really -- you knew the agreement hadn't been signed. You didn't tell anybody and everybody went down. I know you said -- you suggested it would be an active utility to have said to them why don't we delay it until she signs the agreement. You may be right, but at least then you could have said I told them and they wouldn't agree so we went down and hoped she would testify. So why shouldn't you have to pay the costs of going back to Florida?

MS. BROWN: Your Honor, we were operating under what her counsel told us. What her counsel told us was that even though the agreement wasn't signed, she may still testify. He flew down there on Sunday himself to. I don't think he went down there just to say that she's not going to testify. So we flew down there on that basis and things were moving very fast. It's the night before the deposition and if I made a mistake in not disclosing that on the Sunday evening, I apologize to the Court and I apologize to my --

THE COURT: Don't apologize to me.

MS. BROWN: I was going to say and I apologize to my adversary, but we were operating under the information that we were given and I felt as though I made the best decision under

Page 21 1 I don't have anything further, Your Honor. the circumstances. 2 THE COURT: What about the request for communications? 3 MS. BROWN: I don't think there's been any basis to 4 establish that that's a proper request. They're challenging whether or not Ms. Bongiorno's deposition should go forward. 5 They are saying that she doesn't have relevant information and 6 7 when you ask Mr. Dexter what he should be entitled to, he said 8 that she should be entitled to the communications about whether 9 or not she's going to give relevant testimony; well her counsel 10 has confirmed that and should be able to discover that at the 11 deposition itself. There's no basis to obtain communications 12 between counsel for that. 13 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 14 MS. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor. 15 MR. DEXTER: Your Honor, if I may make one very quick 16 point about --17 THE COURT: Very quickly. 18 MR. DEXTER: It's not just that we want the relevant 19 information. We also want information that's relevant to Ms. 20 Bongiorno's credibility. So there are two reasons why we want 21 those communications. That's all I have on that. 22 THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. 23 There are really three or four issues that are being 24 raised in the context of this discovery dispute. The first is 25 that she has no relevant information to give and that's what

Mr. Kirby was arguing. I don't know how I can pre-judge that she has no relevant information to give when she was identified by other witnesses as someone who might have relevant information on this PW issue, and her own counsel said that she might have -- that she has relevant information. You know if she doesn't, it's going to be a short deposition, I guess.

That's the answer, but I can't pre-judge what she has to say.

In terms of buying her testimony, I can read the cooperation agreement. I know what it means. And you can make that argument. I don't see any basis to authorize the disclosure of communications between Trustee's counsel and Ms. Bongiorno's counsel; certainly not in a letter request the way it's posed. But in any event, you know, the question is what does she know. And -- well, I don't know the basis on which she would be entitled to communications between counsel or a would be deposition taker and a would be deponent representative regarding her testimony.

The thing that bothers me is the fact that the Trustee didn't say, you know, she hasn't signed the agreement. Counsel tells me that there's a possibility or that counsel has represented that she will testify, but she hasn't signed the agreement, which requires her cooperation and I just don't know why you didn't tell him that. Then discuss possibly an adjournment and then if there's no adjournment, obviously, you're in a better position to argue that well when we went

down there and took our chances because they wouldn't agree to adjournment.

On the other hand, you know, the Trustee went down there, had a reasonable basis to believe that she would testify even though she hadn't signed the cooperation agreement. The time was running on the discovery. While it's a close question, I'm not inclined to require the Trustee to pay the cost of going back to Florida. So I'll permit the deposition to go forward. This is it though.

MS. BROWN: I understand, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Don't come back in a month and tell me now Ms. Crupi is willing to testify. And I know I started this whole thing, but, you know, let's see what these witnesses have to say about this rather than have an expert, or in addition to what an expert has to say, tell us what these withdrawal's mean.

And I understand your argument, Mr. Kirby, that your clients are going to say we never requested anything and we never got anything. At the end of the day, that may be the most probative evidence. But I'm just not going to try a discovery dispute. I can't do that. I have to hear all the evidence.

It's unfortunate that these costs have to be incurred, but it's an issue that involves a lot of -- you know, a lot of customers, not just yours. We're trying to manage this case

	Page 24
1	the best we can to deal with this issue on an omnibus basis as
2	we dealt with many issues on an omnibus basis.
3	So on that basis, you can go down and take her
4	deposition. Is it set for July 8th or do you have to set it up
5	with the prison?
6	MS. BROWN: Your Honor, we're in the process of
7	confirming that date with the prison officials. We sent them
8	the required paperwork and we're waiting to hear back, which I
9	was planning to do this afternoon as soon as I had a decision
10	from Your Honor.
11	THE COURT: How long did Mr. Madoff's deposition take?
12	MS. BROWN: Mr. Madoff's deposition began around 9:00
13	a.m. and was concluded by noon.
14	THE COURT: Because he didn't have much to say.
15	MR. DEXTER: Actually, Your Honor
16	THE COURT: On this issue.
17	MR. DEXTER: If I may, Your Honor
18	THE COURT: Well, it's supposed to be confidential.
19	That's my understanding, right, of the transcript?
20	MS. BROWN: Yes, Your Honor.
21	MR. DEXTER: Can we go off the record then for a
22	second, if that's all right?
23	THE COURT: All right. Well that's fine. You can
24	turn off the record. There's nobody else here.
25	MR. DEXTER: On that issue can I proceed?

Page 25 1 THE COURT: Sure. 2 MR. DEXTER: If Your Honor could sort of memorialize 3 what your understanding is. 4 THE COURT: I don't even know if I could. Why don't we have Ms. Brown say what she has to say and you can yea or 5 6 nay. 7 (Recess taken at 10:28 a.m.) 8 (Proceedings resume at 10:10:40:42 a.m.) 9 MS. BROWN: Thank you, Judge, we have been discussing off the record whether or not Chaitman LLP will be permitted to 10 11 file a motion to take Mr. Madoff's deposition on the date of 12 when the Ponzi scheme started. And the Trustee will, 13 obviously, reserve all of its rights to respond to that motion, 14 if it is filed. But the Trustee would request that Chaitman LLP or any other law firm that joins in that motion to depose 15 16 Madoff identified by account number, the accounts that have 17 account activity that predates 1992 --18 THE COURT: January 1st, 1992. MS. BROWN: January 1st, 1992 that would be impacted 19 20 by any such testimony from Mr. Madoff. 21 MR. DEXTER: And to clarify that the motion is not to 22 take testimony limited only to when the Ponzi scheme began, 23 that's one example of certain relevant issues that we'd like to 24 take a deposition to inquire into. And when we make the 25 motion, as Your Honor instructed, we will set forth the areas

Page 26 1 of inquiry that we would like to --2 THE COURT: And why it's relevant to the case. 3 example, you told me -- you said one of the areas relates to 4 the maintenance of the accounts and other -- and when the Ponzi 5 scheme began. I don't know what that means in terms of an 6 innocent investor or a lawsuit. So you're going to have to 7 explain to me what the relevance is. All right. 8 MR. DEXTER: Understood, Your Honor. 9 THE COURT: All right. Fair enough. 10 Thank you very much. 11 MR. DEXTER: Thank you. 12 THE COURT: Have a happy July 4th. 13 MS. BROWN: Thank you, Your Honor, you too. 14 THE COURT: Thank you. Please apologize to Mr. Bell, 15 but we don't know who's sitting there. 16 (Proceedings concluded at 10:42 A.M.) 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Page 28 1 CERTIFICATION 2 I, Mary Zajaczkowski, certify that the foregoing is a 3 correct transcript from the official electronic sound recording 4 of the proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 5 6 AAERT Certified Electronic Transcriber CET-531 7 Mary Zajaczkowski 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 Veritext 23 330 Old Country Road 24 Suite 300 25 Mineola, NY 11501

[& - briefly] Page 1

	1		
&	able 21:10	approaching 8:4	23:4 24:1,2,3
<b>&amp;</b> 3:17	accommodate 11:4	appropriate 18:8	<b>began</b> 24:12 25:22
0	account 4:22,25 5:4	18:16	26:5
	5:6 6:14,15,24 14:8	approximate 10:20	<b>behalf</b> 4:4 11:10
<b>08-01789</b> 1:3	25:16,17	arbitrage 5:24	<b>believe</b> 7:11 10:25
1	accounts 5:23,24,25	areas 25:25 26:3	23:4
<b>1,000</b> 16:5	6:13 7:2,3 25:16	argue 22:25	<b>bell</b> 26:14
<b>10004</b> 1:11	26:4	<b>arguing</b> 14:21 22:1	<b>belong</b> 15:17
<b>10022</b> 3:14	actions 9:9	argument 22:10	bernstein 1:17
<b>10111</b> 3:6	active 20:8	23:17	15:21
<b>10:00</b> 1:14	activity 25:17	arguments 9:12	<b>best</b> 13:21 20:25
<b>10:10:40:42</b> 25:8	addition 23:14	arose 10:6	24:1
<b>10:28</b> 25:7	additional 13:16	arrangements 8:14	<b>better</b> 22:25
<b>10:42</b> 26:16	14:25 18:11	arrive 10:24	<b>bias</b> 18:22
<b>11501</b> 28:25	address 10:6	aside 14:6	<b>bit</b> 10:2 16:16
<b>13th</b> 12:24,25	addressed 9:14	asked 15:3	blecker 4:18
<b>1992</b> 25:17,18,19	adjournment 22:24	assert 7:15 18:13	blecker's 5:3,3
<b>1st</b> 25:18,19	22:24 23:2	assistant 5:19	<b>blindsided</b> 16:13
2	administrative 5:20	associated 9:24	<b>blmis</b> 4:12,17,22
<b>20</b> 1:13	adversaries 8:18	assume 13:12	5:1,14,21 6:16
<b>20</b> 1:13 <b>20006</b> 3:20	adversary 20:24	assured 16:10	<b>blum</b> 3:18 5:6 11:11
<b>2000</b> 3.20 <b>2016</b> 1:13	afternoon 24:9	<b>attend</b> 9:16,22	<b>blum's</b> 4:19 13:19
<b>2010</b> 1.13 <b>23</b> 27:4	<b>ago</b> 15:1	18:11,18	<b>blunt</b> 11:25
	agree 18:17 19:24	attended 9:20	bongiorno 2:1 4:8
3	20:10 23:1	attending 16:12	4:13 5:2,19 7:1,12
<b>300</b> 28:24	agreement 7:25,25	17:21	7:15 8:2,16,22 9:9
<b>30th</b> 10:4	8:10,13 10:12 17:13	attorney 3:4,10,18	9:16,21 13:18 15:14
<b>330</b> 28:23	17:17 18:3,4 19:2	8:1 13:10 18:2,2	15:25 16:2,4,8,10
4	20:6,9,15 22:9,19	19:5,6	16:11,14 17:12,20
<b>400</b> 5:14,17	22:22 23:5	attorney's 10:12	18:6 20:2 27:4
<b>45</b> 3:5	ahead 7:22	authorize 22:10	bongiorno's 4:14
<b>465</b> 3:13	alternatively 18:17	automatically 6:16	4:19,21 5:4 9:2
<b>4th</b> 26:12	amendment 7:15	6:18	10:7 13:2 15:16
5	14:4 18:14	<b>avenue</b> 3:13,19	17:24 18:21,25
	amount 10:21	b	19:20 21:5,20 22:12
<b>531</b> 28:6	annette 2:1 4:8	<b>b</b> 1:16	book 4:16,18,20
8	15:14 27:4	<b>back</b> 9:1 12:22 15:2	books 6:20
<b>815</b> 3:19	answer 18:1 22:7	20:12 23:8,11 24:8	bother 14:11,11
<b>8:00</b> 10:24	anticipate 10:18	<b>baker</b> 3:17	bothers 22:18
<b>8th</b> 8:17 9:17 10:5	11:4	bakerhostetler 3:3	bought 14:5 18:14
10:10 24:4	anybody 13:24 20:6	bankruptcy 1:1,9	19:20
a	apologize 20:20,21	1:18	<b>bowling</b> 1:10
<b>a.m.</b> 10:24 24:13	20:22,23 26:14	<b>based</b> 5:15 8:13,21	<b>brief</b> 5:10 10:4 19:18
25:7,8 26:16	<b>apparently</b> 15:9 18:14	9:15	
aaert 28:6		<b>basis</b> 7:22 8:4 9:10	briefing 10:1 briefly 4:9
aaci	appear 9:15	10:8 19:13 20:18	Differry 4.7
		21:3,11 22:10,14	
	-	1014	1

[broad - directly] Page 2

<b>broad</b> 19:25	chicken 15:15	<b>counsel</b> 7:19,20 8:2	<b>deal</b> 6:6 13:17 14:7
brown 3:7 4:2,4,4	choice 8:5,22	8:21,24 9:2,7,21	14:17,24 19:4 24:1
5:9,12 6:5 7:16,18	<b>choices</b> 14:14	10:9 14:17 15:24,24	dealt 15:13,14 24:2
8:11 9:19 10:16,18	chose 18:13,13	16:1,3,8,9 17:24	<b>debtor</b> 1:6
10:23 11:3,6 19:18	circumstances 21:1	18:6,6,24,25 20:14	<b>decision</b> 7:3 20:25
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			24:9
19:24 20:13,23 21:3	<b>claim</b> 11:13	20:14 21:9,12 22:4	· ·
21:14 23:10 24:6,12	claimant's 10:3	22:11,12,15,19,20	delay 18:9 20:8
24:20 25:5,9,19	<b>claimed</b> 19:12	country 28:23	denoted 6:20
26:13	claims 18:8,10	court 1:1,9 4:3 5:7	<b>deponent</b> 22:16
<b>burden</b> 13:16	clarify 25:21	5:11 6:4,25 7:13,17	depose 16:23 17:2
<b>buy</b> 6:7 13:2	clear 9:23	8:8 9:18 10:15,17	20:1 25:15
<b>buying</b> 13:11,12	clients 12:13 15:12	10:21 11:1,5,7,9,15	<b>deposed</b> 4:12 5:12
22:8	15:13,13,18 23:18	11:20 12:7,10,15,20	5:18 16:18 17:5
c	close 23:6	12:24 13:8,23 14:2	18:12
<b>c</b> 3:1 4:1	closer 7:24	14:7,10,15,18,21	<b>deposition</b> 2:1 4:7
calculated 6:3	come 23:11	15:4,6,10,19,22	7:21,23,24 8:18 9:4
<b>call</b> 5:12	communications	16:20,23 17:2,10,17	9:16,23,24 10:4,13
can't 11:18 13:18	16:9 18:24 21:2,8	17:22 19:4,8,10,14	10:16 14:12,13,24
13:19 22:7 23:21	21:11,21 22:11,15	19:16,17,21 20:2,5	15:7 16:12 17:15
capital 6:21	concluded 24:13	20:21,22 21:2,13,17	18:9,12,18 20:19
carol 3:10	26:16	21:22 23:11 24:11	21:5,11 22:6,16
case 1:3 12:16,16	conducted 4:11	24:14,16,18,23 25:1	23:8 24:4,11,12
23:25 26:2	conference 2:1 27:4	25:4,18 26:2,9,12	25:11,24 27:5
certain 13:19 17:4	confidential 24:18	26:14	depositions 9:8
25:23	confirmed 9:3,4	created 5:16	10:20 16:16 17:7
certainly 13:10 19:7	10:9 21:10	credibility 14:6	19:3
22:12	confirming 24:7	18:22 19:2 21:20	description 27:3
certification 28:1	connecticut 3:19	credible 13:21	destroyed 11:18
certified 28:6	consented 8:18	critical 6:25	details 4:16
certify 28:2	considering 18:7	<b>crupi</b> 5:19 15:7	determination 7:7
cet 28:6	contains 4:18	20:2 23:12	18:7
<b>chaitman</b> 3:9 8:24	context 21:24	curious 5:11	<b>dexter</b> 3:15 9:21
9:14,22 16:7,10	continue 11:1	current 11:16	15:20,21,23 16:21
20:3 25:10,14	convertible 5:24	currently 19:16	17:1,4,12,19,23
chaitman's 7:14	cooperate 19:5,22	<b>customer</b> 6:19,23	19:7,9,11,15 21:7
challenging 19:13	cooperating 14:16	<b>customers</b> 5:18 6:2	21:15,18 24:15,17
21:4	cooperation 22:9,22	6:12,15 16:1 18:10	24:21,25 25:2,21
chances 23:1	23:5	23:25	26:8,11
characterization	corporation 1:5	d	dictates 4:24
19:19,25	<b>correct</b> 12:9 28:3	<b>d</b> 4:1 27:1	<b>didn't</b> 9:16 12:10
check 6:10 11:24	<b>cost</b> 9:15,23 17:14	data 5:13	12:25 14:10,11,15
12:18 13:22	18:11 19:24 20:5		16:18,19 17:23 20:3
	23:8	date 10:3,7 24:7 25:11	20:6 22:19,23 24:14
checks 4:16 5:15,16	costs 18:18 20:12		different 11:14
5:17,20 6:2,11	23:23	<b>day</b> 8:15 11:1 23:19 <b>dc</b> 3:20	directed 7:2
11:16,19 12:10,12	couldn't 13:22,23		directly 15:13
12:14 13:19,25	13:24 18:3	<b>deadline</b> 8:4 12:24	_
		ral Solutions	

## [disclosed - identified]

1.1.1.1.10.05	441. 1 . 0.10.21.7.2	0°1. 07.11	7.01.10.15
disclosed 18:25	entitled 9:10 21:7,8	file 25:11	going 7:21 10:15
disclosing 20:20	22:15 28:4	filed 25:14	12:16 13:8 14:5
disclosure 22:11	entrepreneurial	files 6:23	15:4,5,6,6,10 16:23
discover 21:10	3:12	<b>filled</b> 5:1	18:9,10 20:12,17,23
discovered 4:24	esq 3:7,15,21	final 15:7	21:9 22:6 23:8,18
discovery 4:11	establish 21:4	<b>find</b> 4:11 19:5	23:20 26:6
12:17 17:6 19:25	evaluate 19:2	<b>fine</b> 24:23	<b>good</b> 4:2,3 11:8,9
21:24 23:6,21	evening 20:20	<b>finish</b> 10:24 14:11	15:21,22
<b>discuss</b> 8:8 22:23	event 22:13	<b>firm</b> 25:15	goodness 13:11
discussing 25:9	everybody 13:1	<b>first</b> 14:12,15 15:24	government 17:14
discussions 15:25	20:7	18:19 21:24	17:18
15:25 16:1,8 19:1	evidence 15:12	<b>fishing</b> 7:10,12	granted 20:2
<b>dispute</b> 12:17 21:24	23:20,22	flew 18:5 20:16,18	<b>green</b> 1:10
23:21	exactly 13:19	<b>florida</b> 8:13 16:5,12	gregory 3:15
district 1:2	example 16:17	17:15 18:12,19	guess 7:13 22:6
<b>documents</b> 4:15 5:6	25:23 26:3	20:12 23:8	h
doesn't 15:17 18:1	<b>executed</b> 7:25 8:1	flunkies 11:24	hadn't 20:6 23:5
21:6 22:6	expedition 7:12	focusing 11:15	hand 5:3 6:19 23:3
dollars 16:5	expeditions 7:10	<b>follow</b> 8:6,23	handwriting 4:14
<b>don't</b> 11:16 12:20	<b>expense</b> 14:9,10,20	following 8:4	4:20,21 5:5,6 15:16
13:7 14:1,12,13	14:22,22,23 16:5	foregoing 28:2	happens 16:25
16:24 17:5 18:8,15	expenses 16:11	<b>form</b> 5:1,5	happy 26:12
19:4 20:8,16,22	<b>expert</b> 12:5 23:14	forms 4:22,23 5:4	hasn't 22:19,21
21:1,3 22:1,10,14	23:15	<b>forth</b> 25:25	haven't 13:14
22:22 23:11 25:4,4	experts 12:3	<b>forward</b> 10:5,14	hear 12:16 23:21
26:5,15	explain 26:7	13:6 21:5 23:9	24:8
<b>doubt</b> 8:16	explanation 18:2	<b>four</b> 4:12 7:6 10:19	hearing 2:1 27:4
<b>dr.'s</b> 3:18 11:10	explore 9:10	10:25 21:23	highly 7:9 8:16
dubious 16:17	extended 13:17	fourth 5:22	16:16 17:7 18:22,23
<b>dusek</b> 3:10	<b>extension</b> 15:3 17:6	fraud 16:6	hon 1:17
duties 5:21	<b>extent</b> 9:11 19:1,11	<b>frolic</b> 15:17	honor 4:2,6,9 5:9
<b>duty</b> 19:22	f	<b>full</b> 19:1	7:6,10,18 8:11 9:1
e	<b>f</b> 1:16	<b>fully</b> 19:2	9:12,19 10:2,8,13
e 1:16,16 3:1,1 4:1,1	fact 10:10 22:18	<b>fund</b> 3:12	11:6,8 14:14 18:17
27:1	factors 18:22	<b>further</b> 10:11 18:9	19:16,18,19 20:13
earlier 16:15	facts 11:13 14:25	21:1	21:1,14,15 23:10
ecro 1:25	fair 12:22 26:9	g	24:6,10,15,17,20
effort 7:11 11:16	far 4:11,24 7:5 9:8	<b>g</b> 4:1	25:2,25 26:8,13
either 6:14 7:12	10:20 11:23	generated 6:8	hoped 20:11
10:25	fast 20:18	give 5:10 21:9,25	hours 10:19
electronic 28:3,6	<b>federal</b> 16:12	22:2	husband 8:2
employees 5:1	felt 8:20 20:25	given 10:8 20:25	
16:17	fictitious 12:4	gives 18:2	i
endless 11:12	field 6:10	go 8:5 9:1 10:5,13	identified 4:14,19
engaged 6:18	<b>fifth</b> 7:15 14:4 15:9	13:6 14:12,15 19:22	4:21 5:4,5 22:2
entire 12:4	18:4,13	21:5 23:9 24:3,21	25:16
12.7	10.7,13	21.3 23.7 27.3,21	
Veritext Legal Solutions			

## [impacted - objections]

	40.17.22	_	4 624
impacted 25:19	i'd 4:8 15:23	l	meant 6:2,4
impediments 10:11	i'll 19:18 23:8	1 3:10	memorialize 25:2
impose 14:20	i'm 5:11 9:20 12:15	lastly 9:6	mineola 28:25
inclined 18:17 23:7	12:16 14:21 19:9	law 25:15	mistake 20:19
<b>including</b> 4:15 5:1	23:7,20	lawsuit 26:6	modify 10:1
incur 18:11	i've 14:16	ledgers 11:17	<b>money</b> 14:12
<b>incurred</b> 16:5 17:14	j	legitimate 14:19	<b>month</b> 23:11
23:23	<b>j</b> 1:25	length 10:20	<b>morning</b> 4:2,3 11:8
incurring 14:22	jackson 5:18	<b>letter</b> 7:14 9:25	11:9 15:21,22
16:11	<b>january</b> 25:18,19	22:12	<b>motion</b> 25:11,13,15
indicated 9:25	joann 5:19	<b>let's</b> 8:9 12:22	25:21,25
inevitably 16:25	joanna 5:22	23:13	<b>motions</b> 20:1,3
<b>infer</b> 13:23,24	joel 3:18 5:6 11:10	<b>light</b> 10:11	<b>motive</b> 18:21
information 5:16	joins 25:15	limit 10:21	<b>moved</b> 7:22
5:17 7:19 9:3 16:3	judge 1:18 15:21	limited 25:22	<b>moving</b> 20:18
17:8,9,10 20:24	22:1,7 25:9	line 9:7,11	n
21:6,19,19,25 22:2	july 8:17 9:16 10:5	little 11:14 16:16	n 3:1 4:1 27:1
22:4,5	24:4 26:12	llp 3:9 9:22 25:10	name 6:9
<b>informed</b> 7:20,20	june 1:13 12:25	25:15	named 5:22
<b>innocent</b> 16:6 18:10		logged 19:12	names 4:18
26:6	k	long 10:15 11:17	narrowly 17:6
<b>input</b> 5:13	keep 15:5,6	15:1 24:11	narrowly 17.0 nay 25:6
inquire 25:24	kept 15:25	look 19:21	
inquiry 26:1	key 4:14 5:12	lot 23:24,24	need 6:16,22 10:23 10:24
instructed 25:25	<b>kirby</b> 3:21 9:15,16		
intended 8:25	10:3 11:8,10,10,22	m	needlessly 18:11
interest 8:20	12:9,12,19,22 13:10	<b>m</b> 1:17 3:15	nelson 3:10,11
internally 4:22	14:1,3,14,16,19,23	<b>madoff</b> 16:6,17	never 12:13,14
invest 4:23 6:14	15:9,12 20:3 22:1	18:10 25:16,20	15:14 23:18,19
invested 4:25	23:17	madoff's 24:11,12	new 1:2,11,11 3:6
<b>investor</b> 1:5 26:6	knew 7:14 16:21	25:11	3:14,14
<b>involved</b> 7:1 12:2	18:4 20:5	mailed 5:17	<b>night</b> 20:19
involves 23:24	know 6:7 7:20,24	maintained 6:22	noon 24:13
irving 3:4 4:4	8:14 9:2,15 10:8	maintenance 26:4	norman 3:18 11:11
isn't 11:2,20 15:16	12:15,20,21 13:20	maker 7:3	<b>notation</b> 4:23 16:19
19:8,10	13:21 14:9 15:2,4	manage 23:25	notations 5:8 6:1
issue 5:2 14:6 16:25	16:18,19,20,24,24	managed 5:23	notebook 4:15,16
19:16 20:5 22:4	17:5 19:7,22 20:7	manager 5:23	number 25:16
23:24 24:1,16,25	22:1,5,9,13,14,14	mary 2:25 28:2,7	<b>nw</b> 3:19
issues 7:13 10:6	22:19,22 23:3,12,13	matter 1:5 11:22	<b>ny</b> 3:6 28:25
21:23 24:2 25:23	23:24 25:4 26:5,15	16:24 19:20 28:4	0
it's 4:10 8:3,14 9:23	knowledge 5:15	mckenzie 3:17	o 1:16 4:1
10:15 11:14 14:13	11:25	mean 12:24 14:9	oasis 3:11
14:23 15:6 17:5,25	known 7:14 17:23	16:22 18:5,6 23:16	object 19:19 20:3,4
20:19 21:18 22:6,13	17:24	means 12:18 19:22	objecting 15:18
	knows 13:19	22:9 26:5	objecting 13.18
23:6,23,24 24:18	MIUWS 13.17	22.7 20.3	9:13,14
26:2			7.13,14

[obtain - rights] Page 5

	1		1
<b>obtain</b> 21:11	<b>picard</b> 3:4 4:4	prompt 18:7	recording 28:3
obviously 22:24	<b>piece</b> 15:15	<b>proper</b> 7:7 9:3 21:4	records 6:20 18:23
25:13	<b>pig</b> 13:12	proposed 10:3	refer 4:15
<b>office</b> 9:18,20 10:12	<b>plan</b> 9:11	protect 8:20	referred 5:23
official 28:3	planning 24:9	protection 1:5	regard 7:4
officials 24:7	<b>plans</b> 9:22	<b>prove</b> 11:18	<b>regarding</b> 9:9 22:17
<b>oh</b> 17:22	plaza 3:5	<b>provide</b> 8:3,17,25	relate 15:17
okay 11:5 12:15,22	please 26:14	10:10	relates 26:3
14:5,19 17:22 19:14	<b>pled</b> 18:4	<b>punch</b> 5:13	relating 5:20
21:13,22	<b>point</b> 13:5 14:3	purported 6:7	relevance 26:7
<b>old</b> 28:23	16:13,15 17:4 18:19	<b>pursuing</b> 9:7 11:16	<b>relevant</b> 4:10,10 5:2
<b>omnibus</b> 24:1,2	21:16	<b>put</b> 8:9 13:16 14:8	7:9 9:2,7 10:9 13:4
<b>once</b> 14:4	<b>points</b> 15:23 18:20	putting 14:6	13:15 14:6 16:16
<b>opening</b> 4:22 5:4,6	<b>poke</b> 13:12	<b>pw</b> 5:7 6:9 12:18	18:22,23 21:6,9,18
<b>operating</b> 20:13,24	<b>ponzi</b> 25:12,22 26:4	16:19 22:4	21:19,25 22:2,3,5
operators 5:13	<b>posed</b> 22:13	q	25:23 26:2
opposition 10:3	position 22:25	question 6:25 11:20	remaining 10:11
order 8:20	possibility 22:20	14:2 22:13 23:7	remains 4:9
ought 13:5	possibly 22:23	questioning 9:8,11	repeatedly 16:10
<b>outlined</b> 7:6 11:14	<b>pre</b> 22:1,7	10:19	reported 6:7
p	predates 25:17	questions 7:9	representation 8:21
<b>p</b> 3:1,1 4:1	prepared 17:15	quick 21:15	representative
page 27:3	previously 8:6,23	quick 21:13	22:17
paid 16:5 18:19	<b>print</b> 5:14		represented 22:21
paper 15:15	printed 5:17	r	request 6:17 10:13
papers 12:6	<b>prior</b> 7:21 17:20	<b>r</b> 1:16 3:1 4:1	18:18,23 21:2,4
paperwork 24:8	<b>prison</b> 8:14 10:21	raise 9:11 11:3	22:12 25:14
park 3:13	16:13 24:5,7	raised 7:13 21:24	requested 23:18
part 9:12 19:4	<b>privilege</b> 7:15 19:11	ranging 20:1	require 23:7
particular 5:3 6:6	<b>privy</b> 16:9	rar 3:12	required 24:8
parties 8:8 9:25	probably 19:6	<b>read</b> 22:8	requires 18:7 22:22
10:6 13:16 14:8	probative 17:8	<b>real</b> 12:7	rescheduling 4:7
17:19	23:20	really 5:15 11:20	reserve 25:13
pay 20:12 23:7	problem 11:18	13:7 15:17 20:5	resolution 18:9
people 5:13 11:23	12:13	21:23	respond 25:13
people 3.13 11.23	<b>proceed</b> 8:22 24:25	reason 6:12 9:6	<b>response</b> 7:17,18
12.11 17	1 -	1	
12:11,17 perevra 1:25	proceedings 25:8	14:20 15:18	responsibilities
pereyra 1:25	<b>proceedings</b> 25:8 26:16 28:4	reasonable 23:4	responsibilities 5:20
pereyra 1:25 perfectly 14:19	_	reasonable 23:4 reasons 21:20	_
pereyra 1:25 perfectly 14:19 period 16:7	26:16 28:4	reasonable 23:4 reasons 21:20 rebut 15:16	5:20
pereyra 1:25 perfectly 14:19 period 16:7 permit 10:13 23:8	26:16 28:4 process 13:1,21 14:25 24:6 product 19:8,10,12	reasonable 23:4 reasons 21:20 rebut 15:16 received 4:6 9:13	5:20 resume 25:8 review 14:24 richard 3:21 11:10
pereyra 1:25 perfectly 14:19 period 16:7 permit 10:13 23:8 permitted 10:5	26:16 28:4 process 13:1,21 14:25 24:6 product 19:8,10,12 proffer 13:4,6,8,11	reasonable 23:4 reasons 21:20 rebut 15:16 received 4:6 9:13 12:14	5:20 resume 25:8 review 14:24 richard 3:21 11:10 right 7:16 11:5
pereyra 1:25 perfectly 14:19 period 16:7 permit 10:13 23:8 permitted 10:5 25:10	26:16 28:4 process 13:1,21 14:25 24:6 product 19:8,10,12 proffer 13:4,6,8,11 13:18	reasonable 23:4 reasons 21:20 rebut 15:16 received 4:6 9:13 12:14 recess 25:7	5:20 resume 25:8 review 14:24 richard 3:21 11:10 right 7:16 11:5 12:23 14:18 15:11
pereyra 1:25 perfectly 14:19 period 16:7 permit 10:13 23:8 permitted 10:5 25:10 person 9:19,20	26:16 28:4 process 13:1,21 14:25 24:6 product 19:8,10,12 proffer 13:4,6,8,11 13:18 profit 5:2,25 6:1,5,8	reasonable 23:4 reasons 21:20 rebut 15:16 received 4:6 9:13 12:14 recess 25:7 recognized 15:15	5:20 resume 25:8 review 14:24 richard 3:21 11:10 right 7:16 11:5 12:23 14:18 15:11 17:1,1,4 19:15 20:9
pereyra 1:25 perfectly 14:19 period 16:7 permit 10:13 23:8 permitted 10:5 25:10 person 9:19,20 personal 9:9	26:16 28:4 process 13:1,21 14:25 24:6 product 19:8,10,12 proffer 13:4,6,8,11 13:18 profit 5:2,25 6:1,5,8 6:8 16:18	reasonable 23:4 reasons 21:20 rebut 15:16 received 4:6 9:13 12:14 recess 25:7 recognized 15:15 record 24:21,24	5:20 resume 25:8 review 14:24 richard 3:21 11:10 right 7:16 11:5 12:23 14:18 15:11 17:1,1,4 19:15 20:9 24:19,22,23 26:7,9
pereyra 1:25 perfectly 14:19 period 16:7 permit 10:13 23:8 permitted 10:5     25:10 person 9:19,20 personal 9:9 persons 11:25	26:16 28:4 process 13:1,21 14:25 24:6 product 19:8,10,12 proffer 13:4,6,8,11 13:18 profit 5:2,25 6:1,5,8 6:8 16:18 profits 4:25,25 6:16	reasonable 23:4 reasons 21:20 rebut 15:16 received 4:6 9:13 12:14 recess 25:7 recognized 15:15	5:20 resume 25:8 review 14:24 richard 3:21 11:10 right 7:16 11:5 12:23 14:18 15:11 17:1,1,4 19:15 20:9
pereyra 1:25 perfectly 14:19 period 16:7 permit 10:13 23:8 permitted 10:5 25:10 person 9:19,20 personal 9:9	26:16 28:4 process 13:1,21 14:25 24:6 product 19:8,10,12 proffer 13:4,6,8,11 13:18 profit 5:2,25 6:1,5,8 6:8 16:18	reasonable 23:4 reasons 21:20 rebut 15:16 received 4:6 9:13 12:14 recess 25:7 recognized 15:15 record 24:21,24	5:20 resume 25:8 review 14:24 richard 3:21 11:10 right 7:16 11:5 12:23 14:18 15:11 17:1,1,4 19:15 20:9 24:19,22,23 26:7,9

[road - two] Page 6

<b>road</b> 28:23	<b>shielded</b> 16:1 17:9	<b>sure</b> 25:1	thing 22:18 23:13
rockefeller 3:5	17:10	system 5:14 11:24	things 20:18
ruby 8:2	<b>short</b> 22:6	t	think 9:10,14 10:15
rulings 27:2	shouldn't 20:11	table 15:8	10:24 11:14,22 14:1
running 23:6	<b>show</b> 8:15 14:11	tailored 17:6	14:13 16:16 18:8,15
russell 3:10,11	shown 6:8		19:21 20:16 21:3
S	sign 17:23	take 6:6 14:7 15:8	thought 17:17
	<b>signed</b> 8:3 17:12,18	17:15 24:3,11 25:11	three 10:25 21:23
s 3:1 4:1	17:19,20 18:1,3,5	25:22,24	time 10:6,21 11:2
sala 5:22	20:6,15 22:19,21	taken 9:8 12:25	15:4 19:3 20:4 23:6
sale 6:7	23:5	14:4 15:9 25:7	today 4:6,10 13:3
saved 14:12	signs 8:9 20:9	taker 22:16	15:23
saying 12:21 18:20	sipa 18:7	talk 11:17	told 8:12 10:23
21:6	sitting 26:15	talking 14:22 15:7	16:13 19:6 20:10,14
says 13:17 14:8	<b>smb</b> 1:3	tell 4:9 13:1 20:6	20:14 26:3
schedule 10:2	somebody 15:14	22:23 23:11,15	totally 16:13
scheduling 7:22	soon 24:9	tells 22:20	transaction 6:10,17
scheme 25:12,22	sorry 9:20 19:9	terms 22:8 26:5	transactions 5:25
26:5	sort 25:2	<b>testified</b> 4:13 6:1,11	6:3,6 7:8 9:4 12:1,4
scratch 15:15	sought 7:5	6:12,21 7:1 13:23	12:8 13:5
seanna 3:7 4:4	<b>sound</b> 28:3	13:24	transcribed 2:25
search 11:13	southern 1:2	testify 5:7 7:21 8:9	transcriber 28:6
<b>second</b> 14:13 18:21	specific 13:22	8:13 15:10 16:2,4	transcript 24:19
24:22	specifically 9:9 11:4	16:11,14 18:1,3,13	28:3
securities 1:5	spiral 4:15	18:15 19:6 20:11,15	traveled 16:4 17:14
<b>security</b> 6:7,8,9	stanley 3:11	20:17 22:21 23:4,12	treat 7:7
see 8:5,22 22:10	started 18:20 23:12	<b>testimony</b> 4:9 7:5,9	trial 11:20 14:2
23:13	25:12	7:11 8:3,17,25 9:2,5	19:3
seemingly 11:12	statement 6:9	9:6 10:7,9,10,11	trustee 3:4 4:5,11
<b>send</b> 4:23 6:14,15	statements 5:14 6:3	11:23 12:25 13:2,4	4:12 7:25 8:1,5
12:10,12		13:12,15 14:5,6	
sense 13:13	states 1:9 17:14	15:16 18:15 19:20	9:21 12:5 15:3
sent 4:25 6:2,11,15	stipulation 10:1 stood 13:3	19:23 21:9 22:8,17	17:13,24 18:14,19
6:18 24:7		25:20,22	19:19 20:1 22:18
september 10:4	stored 5:16	<b>thank</b> 11:6,7 15:19	23:3,7 25:12,14
12:24	streamline 10:2	19:16,17 21:13,14	trustee's 7:7 8:20
set 6:13,14,15,24	stuart 1:17	21:22 25:9 26:10,11	11:12 12:3 15:24
7:3 12:24 24:4,4	sufficient 10:5	26:13,14	16:3,15 18:6,24
25:25	suggested 20:7	<b>that's</b> 7:13 10:19	19:6 22:11
setting 7:2	suite 28:24	11:20 12:5,19 14:2	truth 11:13
settlement 7:25	summary 5:10	14:19 16:16 17:2	try 10:1 12:16
8:10 10:12 13:2	sunday 8:12 20:16	18:9,15 19:15 21:4	23:20
17:13 18:3,4 19:1	20:20	21:19,21,25 22:7	trying 12:15 13:1
<b>she'll</b> 13:4	supervisor 4:13	24:19,22,23 25:23	23:25
<b>she's</b> 13:8 14:4,5	supported 7:7	there's 21:3,11	turn 24:24
15:9,10 18:15 19:21	suppose 12:17	22:20,24 24:24	<b>turning</b> 9:13
20:17 21:9	supposed 15:1	they're 11:16 21:4	two 5:12 15:23
-	24:18		18:20 20:1 21:20
Veritext Legal Solutions			

## [types - zajaczkowski]

<b>types</b> 5:24	withdrawal's 23:15
u	withheld 17:25
<b>u.s.</b> 1:1,18 8:1 10:12	witness 5:4,5,22
understand 13:4	17:3 18:12,23
14:3 16:9 18:25	witnesses 4:12,14
19:4,21 23:10,17	4:19,20 5:9 7:1,6
understanding	9:5 16:20,24 17:5,7
24:19 25:3	22:3 23:13
understood 26:8	woman 5:22
undoubtedly 12:12	won't 13:20
unfortunate 23:23	work 19:8,10,11
<b>united</b> 1:9 17:13	working 10:1 works 8:15
utility 20:8	worth 11:15
V	worth 11:13
veritext 28:22	worthwine 14.13 wouldn't 13:12
victims 16:6	20:10 23:1
W	write 6:16
	writing 6:22
waiting 24:8	written 11:24
want 5:10 19:5,7	X
21:18,19,20 wanted 6:19	
wanted 6:19 washington 3:20	<b>x</b> 1:4,7 27:1
washington 5:20 wasn't 18:3,5 20:15	y
wasted 7:11	yea 25:5
wasted 7.11 way 6:13,24 7:8	<b>yeah</b> 5:11 10:17
22:12	york 1:2,11,11 3:6
week 8:4	3:14,14
weeks 7:21	you're 14:21,22
weigh 12:18	16:23 22:25 26:6
weight 19:23	Z
went 11:19 12:18	zajaczkowski 2:25
13:22 20:7,11,16	28:2,7
22:25 23:3	
we'd 25:23	
<b>we're</b> 4:6 14:9 15:2	
15:7 16:12,13 17:7	
17:8 23:25 24:6,8	
<b>what's</b> 5:23 7:17	
<b>who's</b> 26:15	
willing 8:17 18:15	
23:12	
winifred 5:18	
withdrawal 5:2,25	
6:1,5,20,21 7:8 9:3	
13:5 16:18	